arXiv:1104.0360v2 [math.CA] 15 Dec 2011

Some inequalities on generalized entropies

S. Furuichi[1]* N. Minculete[2]† and F.-C. Mitroi[3]‡
[1]Department of Computer Science and System Analysis,
College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University,
3-25-40, Sakurajyousui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan
[2]"Dimitrie Cantemir" University, Braşov, 500068, Romania
[3]University of Craiova, Department of Mathematics,
Street A. I. Cuza 13, Craiova, RO-200585, Romania

Abstract. We give several inequalities on generalized entropies involving Tsallis entropies, using some inequalities obtained by improvements of Young's inequality. We also give a generalized Han's inequality.

Keywords : Refined Young's inequality, Tsallis entropy, f-divergence, quasilinear entropy and Han's inequality

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 26D15 and 94A17

1 Introduction

We start from the weighted quasilinear mean for some continuous and strictly monotonic function $\psi : I \to \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$M_{\psi}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \equiv \psi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \psi(x_j)\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = 1$, $p_j > 0$, $x_j \in I$ for $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If we take $\psi(x) = x$, then $M_{\psi}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ coincides with the weighted arithmetic mean $A(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j$. If we also take $\psi(x) = \log(x)$, then $M_{\psi}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ coincides with the weighted geometric mean $G(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \equiv \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{p_j}$.

If $\psi(x) = x$ and $x_j = \ln_q \frac{1}{p_j}$, then $M_{\psi}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)$ is equal to Tsallis entropy [1]:

$$H_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) \equiv -\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^q \ln_q p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \ln_q \frac{1}{p_j}, \ (q \geq 0, q \neq 1) \tag{2}$$

where $\{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\}$ is a probability distribution with $p_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and the $q-$logarithmic function for $x > 0$ is defined by $\ln_q(x) \equiv \frac{x^{1-q}-1}{1-q}$ which uniformly converges to the usual logarithmic function $\log(x)$ in the limit $q \to 1$. Therefore Tsallis entropy conveges to Shannon entropy in the limit $q \to 1$:

$$\lim_{q \to 1} H_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) = H_1(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) \equiv -\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log p_j. \tag{3}$$

---

*E-mail:furuichi@chs.nihon-u.ac.jp
†E-mail:minculeten@yahoo.com
‡E-mail:fcmitroi@yahoo.com

Thus we find that the Tsallis entropy is one of the generalizations of Shannon entropy. It is known that the Rényi entropy [2] is also a generalization of Shannon entropy. Here, we review the quasilinear entropy [3] as another generalization of Shannon entropy. For a continuous and strictly monotonic function ϕ on $(0,1]$, the quasilinear entropy is given by

$$I^{\phi}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) \equiv -\log \phi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \phi(p_j)\right). \tag{4}$$

If we take $\phi(x)=\log(x)$ in (4), then we have $I^{\log}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n)=H_1(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n)$. We may redefine quasilinear entropy by

$$I_1^{\psi}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) \equiv \log \psi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \psi\left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right)\right), \tag{5}$$

for a continuous and strictly monotonic function ψ on $(0,\infty)$. If we take $\psi(x)=\log(x)$ in (5), we have $I_1^{\log}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n)=H_1(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n)$. The case $\psi(x)=x^{1-q}$ is also useful in practice, since we recapture Rényi entropy, namely $I_1^{x^{1-q}}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n)=R_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n)$ where Rényi entropy [2] is defined by

$$R_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) \equiv \frac{1}{1-q}\log\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^q\right). \tag{6}$$

Definition 1.1 *For a continuous and strictly monotonic function ψ on $(0,\infty)$ and two probability distributions $\{p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n\}$ and $\{r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n\}$ with $p_j>0, r_j>0$ for all $j=1,2,\cdots,n$, the quasilinear relative entropy is defined by*

$$D_1^{\psi}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n) \equiv -\log \psi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \psi\left(\frac{r_j}{p_j}\right)\right). \tag{7}$$

The quasilinear relative entropy coincides to Shannon relative entropy if $\psi(x)=\log(x)$, i.e.

$$D_1^{\log}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log\frac{r_j}{p_j} = D_1(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n).$$

We denote by $R_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n)$ the Rényi relative entropy [2] defined by

$$R_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n) \equiv \frac{1}{q-1}\log\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^q r_j^{1-q}\right). \tag{8}$$

This is another particular case of quasilinear relative entropy, namely for $\psi(x)=x^{1-q}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1^{x^{1-q}}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n) &= -\log\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j\left(\frac{r_j}{p_j}\right)^{1-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} = \frac{1}{q-1}\log\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^q r_j^{1-q}\right) \\ &= R_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by

$$D_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^q(\ln_q p_j - \ln_q r_j) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \ln_q\frac{r_j}{p_j} \tag{9}$$

the Tsallis relative entropy. Tsallis relative entropy conveges to the usual relative entropy (divergence, K-L information) in the limit $q \to 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{q\to 1} D_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n) &= D_1(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n)\\ &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j(\log p_j - \log r_j). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

See [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] and references therein for recent advances and applications on the Tsallis entropy. We easily find that the Tsallis relative entropy is a special case of Csiszár f-divergence [15, 16, 17] defined for a convex function f on $(0,\infty)$ with $f(1)=0$ by

$$D_f(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j f\left(\frac{p_j}{r_j}\right), \tag{11}$$

since $f(x) = -x\ln_q(1/x)$ is convex on $(0,\infty)$, vanishes at $x=1$ and

$$D_{-x\ln_q(1/x)}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n) = D_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n||r_1,r_2,\cdots,r_n).$$

Furthermore, we define the dual function with respect to a convex function f by

$$f^*(t) = tf\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \tag{12}$$

for $t>0$. Then the function $f^*(t)$ is also convex on $(0,\infty)$. In addition, we define the f-divergence for *incomplete* probability distributions $\{a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_n\}$ and $\{b_1,b_2\cdots,b_n\}$ where $a_i>0$ and $b_i>0$, in the following way:

$$\widetilde{D_{f^*}}(a_1,a_2,\cdots,a_n||b_1,b_2,\cdots,b_n) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j f^*\left(\frac{b_j}{a_j}\right). \tag{13}$$

On the other hand, the studies on refinements for Young's inequality have given a great progress in the papers [18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. In the present paper, we give some inequalities on the Tsallis entropies applying two type inequalities obtained in [23, 26]. In addition, we give the generalized Han's inequality for the Tsallis entropy in the final section.

2 Tsallis quasilinear entropy and Tsallis quasilinear relative entropy

As an analogy with (5), we may define the following entropy.

Definition 2.1 *For a continuous and strictly monotonic function ψ on $(0,\infty)$ and $q\geq 0$ with $q\neq 1$, Tsallis quasilinear entropy (q-quasilinear entropy) is defined by*

$$I_q^{\psi}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) \equiv \ln_q \psi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j\psi\left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right)\right), \tag{14}$$

where $\{p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n\}$ is a probability distribution with $p_j>0$ for all $j=1,2,\cdots,n$.

We notice that if ψ does not depend on q then $\lim_{q\to 1} I_q^{\psi}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) = I_1^{\psi}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n)$.

For $x>0$ and $q \geq 0$ with $q \neq 1$, we define the q-exponential function as the inverse function of the q-logarithmic function by $\exp_q(x) \equiv \{1+(1-q)x\}^{1/(1-q)}$, if $1+(1-q)x>0$, otherwise it is undefined. If we take $\psi(x)=\ln_q(x)$ then we have $I_q^{\ln_q}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) = H_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_q^{x^{1-q}}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) &= \ln_q\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j p_j^{q-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} = \ln_q\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j^q\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \\
&= \frac{\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j^q\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}\right]^{1-q}-1}{1-q} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n\left(p_j^q-p_j\right)}{1-q} = H_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2 *Tsallis quasilinear entropy is nonnegative:*

$$I_q^{\psi}(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) \geq 0.$$

Proof: We assume that ψ is an increasing function. Then we have $\psi\left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right) \geq \psi(1)$ from $\frac{1}{p_j} \geq 1$ for $p_j>0$ for all $j=1,2,\cdots,n$. Thus we have $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j\psi\left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right) \geq \psi(1)$ which implies $\psi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j\psi\left(\frac{1}{p_j}\right)\right) \geq 1$, since ψ^{-1} is also increasing. For the case that ψ is a decreasing function, we can prove it similarly.

■

We note here that the q-exponential function gives us the following connection between Rényi entropy and Tsallis entropy [30]:

$$\exp R_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) = \exp_q H_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n). \tag{15}$$

We should note here $\exp_q H_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n)$ is always defined, since we have

$$1+(1-q)H_q(p_1,p_2,\cdots,p_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j^q > 0.$$

From (15), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3 *Let $\mathcal{A} \equiv \{\mathcal{A}_i : i=1,2,\cdots,k\}$ be a partition of $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$ and put $p_i^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \sum_{j\in\mathcal{A}_i} p_j$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j^q \geq \sum_{j=1}^k \left(p_j^{\mathcal{A}}\right)^q, \quad (0 \leq q \leq 1), \tag{16}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j^q \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \left(p_j^{\mathcal{A}}\right)^q, \quad (1 \leq q). \tag{17}$$

Proof: We use the generalized Shannon additivity (which is often called q-additivity) for Tsallis entropy (see [8] for example):

$$H_q(x_{11},\cdots,x_{nm_n}) = H_q(x_1,\cdots,x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^q H_q\left(\frac{x_{i1}}{x_i},\cdots,\frac{x_{im_i}}{x_i}\right). \tag{18}$$

where $x_{ij} \geq 0$, $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} x_{ij}$, $(i = 1, \cdots, n; j = 1, \cdots, m_i)$. Thus we have

$$H_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) \geq H_q\left(p_1^{\mathcal{A}}, p_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, p_k^{\mathcal{A}}\right), \tag{19}$$

since the second term of the right hand side in (18) is nonnegative, because of the nonnegativity of Tsallis entropy. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp R_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) &= \exp_q H_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) \\ &\geq \exp_q H_q\left(p_1^{\mathcal{A}}, p_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, p_k^{\mathcal{A}}\right) \\ &= \exp R_q\left(p_1^{\mathcal{A}}, p_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, p_k^{\mathcal{A}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since $\exp_q$ is a monotone increasing function. Hence the inequality

$$R_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n) \geq R_q\left(p_1^{\mathcal{A}}, p_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, p_k^{\mathcal{A}}\right), \tag{20}$$

holds, which proves the present proposition.

■

Definition 2.4 *For a continuous and strictly monotonic function ψ on $(0, \infty)$ and two probability distributions $\{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\}$ and $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\}$ with $p_j > 0, r_j > 0$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, the Tsallis quasilinear relative entropy is defined by*

$$D_q^{\psi}(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \equiv -\ln_q \psi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \psi\left(\frac{r_j}{p_j}\right)\right). \tag{21}$$

For $\psi(x) = \ln_q(x)$ the Tsallis quasilinear relative entropy becomes Tsallis relative entropy, that is

$$D_q^{\ln_q}(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \ln_q \frac{r_j}{p_j} = D_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n),$$

and for $\psi(x) = x^{1-q}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_q^{x^{1-q}}(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) &= -\ln_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \left(\frac{r_j}{p_j}\right)^{1-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} = -\ln_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^q r_j^{1-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}} \\ = \frac{-\left\{\left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^q r_j^{1-q}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}\right]^{1-q} - 1\right\}}{1-q} &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(p_j - p_j^q r_j^{1-q}\right)}{1-q} \\ = D_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We give a sufficient condition on nonnegativity of Tsallis quasilinear relative entropy.

Proposition 2.5 *If ψ is a concave increasing function or a convex decreasing function, then we have nonnegativity of Tsallis quasilinear relative entropy:*

$$D_q^{\psi}(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \geq 0.$$

Proof: We firstly assume that ψ is a concave increasing function. The concavity of ψ shows that we have $\psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \frac{r_j}{p_j}\right) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \psi\left(\frac{r_j}{p_j}\right)$ which is equivalent to $\psi(1) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \psi\left(\frac{r_j}{p_j}\right)$. From the assumption, ψ^{-1} is also increasing so that we have $1 \geq \psi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \psi\left(\frac{r_j}{p_j}\right)\right)$. Therefore we have $-\ln_q \psi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \psi\left(\frac{r_j}{p_j}\right)\right) \geq 0$, since $\ln_q x$ is increasing and $\ln_q(1) = 0$. For the case that ψ is a convex decreasing function, we can prove similarly the nonnegativity of Tsallis quasilinear relative entropy.

■

Remark 2.6 *The following two functions satisfy the sufficient condition in the above proposition.*

(i) $\psi(x) = \ln_q x$ *for* $q \geq 0, q \neq 1$.

(ii) $\psi(x) = x^{1-q}$ *for* $q \geq 0, q \neq 1$.

It is notable that the following identity holds

$$\exp R_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) = \exp_{2-q} D_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n). \tag{23}$$

We should note here $\exp_{2-q} D_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n)$ is always defined, since we have

$$1 + (q-1) D_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j^q r_j^{1-q} > 0.$$

We also find that (23) implies the monotonicity of Rényi relative entropy.

Proposition 2.7 *Under the same assumptions with Proposition 2.3 and* $r_i^{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}_i} r_j$, *we have*

$$R_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \geq R_q\left(p_1^{\mathcal{A}}, p_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, p_k^{\mathcal{A}} || r_1^{\mathcal{A}}, r_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, r_k^{\mathcal{A}}\right). \tag{24}$$

Proof: We recall that Tsallis relative entropy is a special case of f-divergence so that it has same properties with f-divergence. Since $\exp_{2-q}$ is a monotone increasing function for $0 \leq q \leq 2$ and f-divergence has a monotonicity [15, 17], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp R_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) &= \exp_{2-q} D_q(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \\
&\geq \exp_{2-q} D_q\left(p_1^{\mathcal{A}}, p_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, p_k^{\mathcal{A}} || r_1^{\mathcal{A}}, r_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, r_k^{\mathcal{A}}\right) \\
&= \exp R_q\left(p_1^{\mathcal{A}}, p_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, p_k^{\mathcal{A}} || r_1^{\mathcal{A}}, r_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots, r_k^{\mathcal{A}}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the statement.

■

3 Inequalities for Tsallis quasilinear entropy and f-divergence

In this section, we give inequalities for Tsallis quasilinear entropy and f-divergence. For this purpose, we review the results obtained in [23] as one of generalizations of refined Young's inequality.

Proposition 3.1 *([23]) For two probability vectors* $\mathbf{p} = \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\}$ *and* $\mathbf{r} = \{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\}$ *such that* $p_j > 0$, $r_j > 0$, $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j = 1$ *and* $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ *such that* $x_i \geq 0$, *we have*

$$\min_{1\leq i\leq n}\left\{\frac{r_i}{p_i}\right\} T(f,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}) \leq T(f,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}) \leq \max_{1\leq i\leq n}\left\{\frac{r_i}{p_i}\right\} T(f,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}), \tag{25}$$

where

$$T(f,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{p}) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n p_j f(x_j) - f\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n p_j\psi(x_j)\right)\right), \tag{26}$$

for continuous increasing function $\psi : I \to I$ *and a function* $f : I \to J$ *such that*

$$f(\psi^{-1}((1-\lambda)\psi(a) + \lambda\psi(b))) \leq (1-\lambda)f(a) + \lambda f(b) \tag{27}$$

for any $a, b \in I$ *and any* $\lambda \in [0,1]$.

We have the following inequalities on Tsallis quasilinear entropy and Tsallis entropy.

Theorem 3.2 *For* $q \geq 0$, *a continuous and strictly monotonic function* ψ *on* $(0,\infty)$ *and a probability distribution* $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\}$ *with* $r_j > 0$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq n \min_{1\leq i\leq n}\{r_i\}\left\{\ln_q\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \psi\left(\frac{1}{r_j}\right)\right)\right) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \ln_q\frac{1}{r_j}\right\} \\ &\leq I_q^{\psi}(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) - H_q(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \\ &\leq n \max_{1\leq i\leq n}\{r_i\}\left\{\ln_q\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \psi\left(\frac{1}{r_j}\right)\right)\right) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \ln_q\frac{1}{r_j}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof: If we take the uniform distribution $\mathbf{p} = \left\{\frac{1}{n}, \cdots, \frac{1}{n}\right\} \equiv \mathbf{u}$ in Proposition 3.1, then we have

$$n \min_{1\leq i\leq n}\{r_i\} T_n(f,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}) \leq T_n(f,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{r}) \leq n \max_{1\leq i\leq n}\{r_i\} T_n(f,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{u}), \tag{28}$$

(which coincides with Theorem 3.3 in [23]). In the inequalities (28), we put $f(x) = -\ln_q(x)$ and $x_j = \frac{1}{r_j}$ for any $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then we obtain the statement.

■

Corollary 3.3 *For* $q \geq 0$ *and a probability distribution* $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\}$ *with* $r_j > 0$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq n \min_{1\leq i\leq n}\{r_i\}\left\{\ln_q\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{r_j}\right) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \ln_q\frac{1}{r_j}\right\} &\leq \ln_q n - H_q(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \\ &\leq n \max_{1\leq i\leq n}\{r_i\}\left\{\ln_q\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{r_j}\right) - \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n \ln_q\frac{1}{r_j}\right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Proof: Put $\psi(x) = x$ in Theorem 3.2.

■

Remark 3.4 *Corollary 3.3 improves the well-known inequalities* $0 \leq H_q(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \leq \ln_q n$. *If we take the limit* $q \to 1$, *the inequalities (29) recover Proposition 1 in [19].*

We also have the following inequalities.

Theorem 3.5 *For two probability distributions* $\mathbf{p} = \{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\}$ *and* $\mathbf{r} = \{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\}$, *and an incomplete probability distribution* $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, t_2, \cdots, t_n\}$ *with* $t_j \equiv \frac{p_j^2}{r_j}$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \min_{1\leq i\leq n}\left\{\frac{r_i}{p_i}\right\}\left(\widetilde{D_{f^*}}(\mathbf{t}||\mathbf{p}) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j\right)\right) \\ &\leq D_f(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{r}) \leq \max_{1\leq i\leq n}\left\{\frac{r_i}{p_i}\right\}\left(\widetilde{D_{f^*}}(\mathbf{t}||\mathbf{p}) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Proof: Put $x_j = \frac{p_j}{r_j}$ in Proposition 3.1 with $\psi(x) = x$. Since we have the relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j f\left(\frac{p_j}{r_j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \frac{p_j}{r_j} f^*\left(\frac{r_j}{p_j}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j f^*\left(\frac{p_j}{t_j}\right),$$

we have the statement.

■

Corollary 3.6 *([19]) Under the same assumption as in Theorem 3.5, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \min_{1\leq i\leq n}\left\{\frac{r_i}{p_i}\right\}\left(\log\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j\right) - D_1(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{r})\right) \\ &\leq D_1(\mathbf{r}||\mathbf{p}) \leq \max_{1\leq i\leq n}\left\{\frac{r_i}{p_i}\right\}\left(\log\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j\right) - D_1(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{r})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: If we take $f(x) = -\log(x)$ in Theorem 3.5, then we have

$$D_f(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{r}) = -\sum_{j=1}^n r_j \log\frac{p_j}{r_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \log\frac{r_j}{p_j} = D_1(\mathbf{r}||\mathbf{p}).$$

Since $f^*(x) = x\log(x)$ and $t_j = \frac{p_j^2}{r_j}$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D_{f^*}}(\mathbf{t}||\mathbf{p}) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j\right) &= \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \frac{p_j}{t_j}\log\frac{p_j}{t_j} + \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log\frac{r_j}{p_j} + \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j\right) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log\frac{p_j}{r_j} + \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j\right) = \log\left(\sum_{j=1}^n t_j\right) - D_1(\mathbf{p}||\mathbf{r}). \end{aligned}$$

■

4 Inequalities for Tsallis entropy

We firstly give Lagrange's identity [32], to establish an alternative generalization of refined Young's inequality.

Lemma 4.1 ***(Lagrange's identity)*** *For two vectors* $\{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$ *and* $\{b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n\}$*, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^2\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k^2\right) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k b_k\right)^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 \\ &= \sum_{1\le i<j\le n}(a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 . \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Theorem 4.2 *Let* $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ *be a twice differentiable function such that there exist real constants* m *and* M *so that* $0 \le m \le f''(x) \le M$ *for any* $x \in I$*. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{m}{2}\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} p_i p_j (x_j - x_i)^2 &\le \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j f(x_j) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j\right) \\ &\le \frac{M}{2}\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} p_i p_j (x_j - x_i)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $p_j > 0$ *with* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = 1$ *and* $x_j \in I$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$*.*

Proof: We consider the function $g : I \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x) \equiv f(x) - \frac{m}{2}x^2$. Since we have $g''(x) = f''(x) - m \ge 0$, g is a convex function. Applying Jensen's inequality, we thus have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j g(x_j) \ge g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j\right) \tag{33}$$

where $p_j > 0$ with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = 1$ and $x_j \in I$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. From the inequality (33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j f(x_j) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j\right) &\ge \frac{m}{2}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j\right)^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{m}{2}\left\{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j^2\right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j\right)^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{m}{2}\sum_{1\le i<j\le n}\left(\sqrt{p_i}\sqrt{p_j}x_j - \sqrt{p_j}\sqrt{p_i}x_i\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{m}{2}\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} p_i p_j (x_j - x_i)^2 . \end{aligned}$$

In the above calculations, we used Lemma 4.1. Thus we proved the first part of the inequalities. Similarly, one can prove the second part of the inequalities, putting the function $h : I \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $h(x) \equiv \frac{M}{2}x^2 - f(x)$. We omit the details.

■

Lemma 4.3 *For* $\{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\}$ *with* $p_j > 0$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = 1$*, and* $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ *with* $x_j > 0$*, we have*

$$\sum_{1\le i<j\le n} p_i p_j (x_j - x_i)^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j\left(x_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i\right)^2 . \tag{34}$$

Proof: We denote

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i.$$

The left side term becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(x_j - x_i\right)^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_i p_j \left(x_j - x_i\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_i p_j \left(x_j^2 + x_i^2 - 2x_j x_i\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_i p_j x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_i p_j x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_i p_j x_j x_i \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j^2 - \bar{x}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, a straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \left(x_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i\right)^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \left(x_j^2 - 2x_j \bar{x} + \bar{x}^2\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j^2 - 2\bar{x}^2 + \bar{x}^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j^2 - \bar{x}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof.

$\blacksquare$

Corollary 4.4 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.2, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \left(x_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i\right)^2 &\le \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j f(x_j) - f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j\right) \\
&\le \frac{M}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \left(x_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i\right)^2. \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.5 *Corollary 4.4 gives a similar form with Cartwright-Field's inequality [31]:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2M'} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \left(x_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i\right)^2 &\le \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j x_j - \prod_{j=1}^{n} x_j^{p_j} \\
&\le \frac{1}{2m'} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \left(x_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i x_i\right)^2 \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_j > 0$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = 1$, $m' \equiv \min\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\} > 0$ *and* $M' \equiv \max\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$.

We also have the following inequalities for Tsallis entropy.

Theorem 4.6 *For two probability distributions* $\{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\}$ *and* $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\}$ *with* $p_j > 0$, $r_j > 0$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j = 1$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \ln_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) - \ln_q n + \frac{m_q}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^2 - \frac{M_q}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{r_j} - \frac{1}{r_i} \right)^2 \\ \le \; & \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \ln_q \frac{1}{r_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \ln_q \frac{1}{p_j} \\ \le \; & \ln_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) - \ln_q n + \frac{M_q}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^2 - \frac{m_q}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{r_j} - \frac{1}{r_i} \right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where m_q *and* M_q *are positive numbers depending on the parameter* $q \ge 0$ *and satisfying* $m_q \le q r_j^{-q-1} \le M_q$ *and* $m_q \le q p_j^{-q-1} \le M_q$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Proof: Applying Theorem 4.2 for the convex function $-\ln_q(x)$ and $x_j = \frac{1}{r_j}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_q}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{r_j} - \frac{1}{r_i} \right)^2 & \le -\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \ln_q \frac{1}{r_j} + \ln_q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) \\ & \le \frac{M_q}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{r_j} - \frac{1}{r_i} \right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

since the second derivative of $-\ln_q(x)$ is qx^{-q-1}. Putting $r_j = p_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ in the inequalities (38), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_q}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^2 & \le -\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \ln_q \frac{1}{p_j} + \ln_q n \\ & \le \frac{M_q}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

From the inequalities (38) and (39), we have the statement.

■

Remark 4.7 *The first part of the inequalities (39) gives another improvement of the well-known inequalities* $0 \le H_q(r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \le \ln_q n$.

Corollary 4.8 *For two probability distributions* $\{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\}$ *and* $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\}$ *with* $p_j > 0$, $r_j > 0$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j = 1$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) - \log n + \frac{m_1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^2 - \frac{M_1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{r_j} - \frac{1}{r_i} \right)^2 \\ \le \; & \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log \frac{1}{r_j} - \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log \frac{1}{p_j} \\ \le \; & \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_j}{r_j} \right) - \log n + \frac{M_1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{p_j} - \frac{1}{p_i} \right)^2 - \frac{m_1}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} p_i p_j \left(\frac{1}{r_j} - \frac{1}{r_i} \right)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where m_1 *and* M_1 *are positive numbers satisfying* $m_1 \leq r_j^{-2} \leq M_1$ *and* $m_1 \leq p_j^{-2} \leq M_1$ *for all* $j = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.

Proof: Take the limit $q \to 1$ in Theorem 4.6.

■

Remark 4.9 *The second part of the inequalities (40) gives the reverse inequality for the so-called information inequality [33, Theorem 2.6.3]:*

$$0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log \frac{1}{r_j} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \log \frac{1}{p_j} \tag{41}$$

which is equivalent to the non-negativity of the relative entropy:

$$D_1(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n || r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n) \geq 0.$$

Using the inequality (41), we derive the following result.

Proposition 4.10 *For two probability distributions* $\{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_n\}$ *and* $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n\}$ *with* $0 < p_j < 1$, $0 < r_j < 1$ *and* $\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j = 1$, *we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - p_j) \log \frac{1}{1 - p_j} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} (1 - p_j) \log \frac{1}{1 - r_j}. \tag{42}$$

Proof: In the inequality (41), we put $p_j = \frac{1-p_j}{n-1}$ and $r_j = \frac{1-r_j}{n-1}$ which satisfy $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1-p_j}{n-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1-r_j}{n-1} = 1$. Then we have the present proposition.

■

5 A generalized Han's inequality

In order to state our result, we give the definitions of the Tsallis conditional entropy and the Tsallis joint entropy.

Definition 5.1 *([34, 9]) For the conditional probability* $p(x_i|y_j)$ *and the joint probability* $p(x_i, y_j)$, *we define the Tsallis conditional entropy and the Tsallis joint entropy by*

$$H_q(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) \equiv -\sum_{i,j} p(x_i, y_j)^q \ln_q p(x_i|y_j), \quad (q \geq 0, q \neq 1), \tag{43}$$

and

$$H_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \equiv -\sum_{i,j} p(x_i, y_j)^q \ln_q p(x_i, y_j), \quad (q \geq 0, q \neq 1). \tag{44}$$

We summarize briefly the following chain rules representing relations between Tsallis conditional entropy and Tsallis joint entropy.

Proposition 5.2 *([34, 9])Assume that* $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ *are probability distributions. Then*

$$H_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = H_q(\mathbf{x}) + H_q(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}). \tag{45}$$

Proposition 5.2 implied the following propositions.

Proposition 5.3 *([9]) Suppose* $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n$ *are probability distributions. Then*

$$H_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_q(\mathbf{x}_i \,|\mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_1). \tag{46}$$

Proposition 5.4 *([34, 9]) For* $q \geq 1$, *two probability distributions* $\mathbf{x}$ *and* $\mathbf{y}$, *we have the following inequality:*

$$H_q(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) \leq H_q(\mathbf{x}). \tag{47}$$

Consequently we have the following self-bounding property of Tsallis joint entropy.

Theorem 5.5 ***(Generalized Han's inequality)*** *Let* $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n$ *be probability distributions. Then for* $q \geq 1$, *we have the following inequality:*

$$H_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n).$$

Proof: Since the Tsallis joint entropy has a symmetry: $H_q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = H_q(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) &= H_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) + H_q(\mathbf{x}_i|\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ &\leq H_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) + H_q(\mathbf{x}_i|\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}), \end{aligned}$$

by the use of Proposition 5.2 and Proposition 5.4. Summing both sides on i from 1 to n, we have

$$\begin{aligned} nH_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_q(\mathbf{x}_i|\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} H_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n) + H_q(\mathbf{x}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n), \end{aligned}$$

due to Proposition 5.3. Therefore we have the present proposition. ■

Remark 5.6 *Theorem 5.5 recovers the original Han's inequality [35, 36], if we take the limit as* $q \to 1$.

Acknowledgements

The author (S.F.) was supported in part by the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists (B), 20740067. The author (N.M.) was supported in part by the Romanian Ministry of Education, Research and Innovation through the PNII Idei project 842/2008. The author (F.-C. M.) was supported by CNCSIS Grant 420/2008.

References

[1] C. Tsallis, Possible generalization of Bolzmann-Gibbs statistics, J.Stat. Phys., Vol.52(1988), pp. 479-487.

[2] A.Rényi, On measures of entropy and information, in Proc. 4th Berkeley Symp., Mathematical and Statistical Probability, Berkeley, CA: Univ. Calif. Press, Vol. 1(1961), pp. 547-561.

[3] J.Aczél and Z.Daróczy, On measures of information and their characterizations, Academic Press, 1975.

[4] C. Tsallis et al. In: S. Abe and Y. Okamoto, Editors, Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, Springer, 2001. See also the comprehensive list of references at http://tsallis.cat.cbpf.br/biblio.htm.

[5] C.Tsallis, Introduction to Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics: Approaching a Complex World, Springer, 2009.

[6] C. Tsallis, Entropy, in Encyclopedia of Complexity and Systems Science, Springer, Berlin, 2009.

[7] S.Furuichi, K.Yanagi and K.Kuriyama, Fundamental properties of Tsallis relative entropy, J.Math.Phys.,Vol. 45(2004), pp.4868-4877.

[8] S.Furuichi,On uniqueness theorems for Tsallis entropy and Tsallis relative entropy, IEEE Trans. on Information Theory, Vol.47 (2005), pp.3638-3645.

[9] S.Furuichi, Information theoretical properties of Tsallis entropies, J.Math.Phys., Vol.47 (2006) , pp.023302-1–023302-18.

[10] S.Furuichi, Tsallis entropies and their theorems, properties and applications, pp.1-86, in the book “Aspects of Optical Sciences and Quantum Information”, Research Signpost, Edited by M.Abdel-Aty, 2007.

[11] S.Furuichi, Matrix trace inequalities on Tsallis entropies, Journal of inequalities in pure and applied mathematics, Vol.9(2008), Issue 1, Article 1, 7pp.

[12] S.Furuichi, On the maximum entropy principle and the minimization of the Fisher information in Tsallis statistics, J.Math.Phys.,Vol.50(2009),pp.013303-1–013303-12.

[13] S.Furuichi, An axiomatic characterization of a two-parameter extended relative entropy, J.Math.Phys., Vol.51(2010),pp.123302-1–123302-10.

[14] S.Furuichi, Inequalities for Tsallis relative entropy and generalized skew information, to appear in Linear and Multilinear algebra.

[15] I. Csiszár, Axiomatic characterizations of information measures, Entropy,Vol.10(2008),pp.261-273.

[16] I. Csiszár, Information measures: A critical survey, Transactions of the Seventh Prague Conference on Information Theory, Statistical Decision Functions, Random Processes, pp.73-86, D.Rreidel Publishing Company, 1978.

[17] I. Csiszár and P. C. Shields, Information Theory and Statistics: A Tutorial, Foundations and Trends in Communications and Information Theory, Vol.1(2004), pp.417-528.

[18] N.A.Bobylev and M. A. Krasnoselsky, Extremum Analysis (degenerate cases), Moscow, preprint, 1981, 52 pages, (in Russian).

[19] S. Dragomir, Bounds for the Normalised Jensen Functional, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., Vol.74 (2006), pp.471-478.

[20] F. Kittaneh and Y. Manasrah, Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol 36(2010), pp. 262-269.

[21] J. M. Aldaz, Self-improvement of the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means, J. Math. Ineq., Vol.3(2009), pp.213-216.

[22] J. M. Aldaz, Comparison of differences between arithmetic and geometric means, to appear in Tamkang Journal of Mathematics (arXiv:1001.5055v2).

[23] F. C. Mitroi, About the precision in Jensen-Steffensen inequality, Annals of the University of Craiova, Mathematics and Computer Science Series, Vol.37 (2010), pp.73-84.

[24] S. Furuichi, On refined Young inequalities and reverse inequalities, J. Math. Ineq., Vol.5(2011), pp.21-31.

[25] S. Furuichi, Refined Young inequalities with Specht's ratio, arXiv: 1004.0581v2.

[26] N. Minculete, A result about Young inequality and several applications (submitted for publication).

[27] N. Minculete, A refinement of the Kittaneh-Manasrah inequality (submitted for publication).

[28] S.Furuichi and N. Minculete, Alternative reverse inequalities for Young's inequality, arXiv:1103.1937v1.

[29] N. Minculete and S.Furuichi, Several applications of Cartwright-Field's inequality, arXiv:1103.3533v1.

[30] M. Masi, A step beyond Tsallis and Rényi entropies, Phys. Lett. A,Vol.338 (2005) pp.217-224.

[31] D. I. Cartwright and M. J. Field, A refinement of the arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.71(1978), pp.36-38.

[32] E. W. Weisstein, CRC concise encyclopedia of mathematics (2nd ed.), CRC Press. 2003.

[33] T.M.Cover and J.A.Thomas, Elements of information theory, Second Edition, John Wiley and Sons, 2006.

[34] Z.Daróczy, General information functions, Information and Control, Vol.16(1970), pp.36-51.

[35] T.Han, Nonnegative entropy measures of multivariate symmetric correlations, Information and Control, Vol.36(1978),pp.133-156.

[36] S.Boucheron, Gábor Lugosi and O.Bousquet, Concentration inequalities, Advanced Lectures on Machine Learning, Springer, 2003, pp.208-240.